PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	РСТ				
To: HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION One Hamilton Road Attn. Stephenson, Gregory R. MS 1-1-BC18 Windsor Locks, CT 06096 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA JAN 2005 RECEIVE Hamilton Sund Intellectual Properties					
1£0£62.801	Date (mailing (lass/month/year) 12/01/2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference H2723-EC	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US2004/030943	International filing date (day/month/year) 22/09/2004				
Applicant Applicant	22/03/2004				
HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith	report and the written opinion of the International Searching				
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35					
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written online of the lot	report will be established and that the declaration under				
	Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:				
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.					
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.					
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of som examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the edate (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, wi acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.	entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority ithin 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed				
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	(or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19				
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.					

Name and I	namny add	ress or me	internati	onai Sear	cning Author	ity
	European	Patent Offi	ce, P.B.	5818 Pate	entlaan 2	

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Laurène Stafl

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international phulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	m the ERNATIONAL SEA	ARCHING AUTH	IORITY			
To):			PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing	·	
				(day.montn/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
1	olicant's or agent's file of form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
1	rnational application T/US2004/03094		International filing date (22.09.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.09.2003	
	rnational Patent Clas 4D13/00, F24F13		both national classification	and IPC		
	licant MILTON SUNDS	STRAND CORF	PORATION	•		
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	_	owng tomo.		
ĺ	Box No. II	Priority	MINON			
	Box No. III	•	nent of opinion with roas	ard to novelty inventive	e step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of		ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i>	nent under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions and explanations supporting such statement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume				
	🛛 Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application		
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be cons written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this do the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA had International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching will not be so considered.				owever, this does not apply where		
	submit to the IPE	:A a written reply date of mailing c	together, where appro-	oriate, with amendmer	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.			
3.	For further details	s, see notes to F	orm PCT/ISA/220.			
Name	and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Pedersen, K

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2874



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030943

	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion				
1.	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 						
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. typ	e of m	paterial:				
		a se	equence listing				
		table	e(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
		in w	ritten format				
		in co	omputer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		cont	ained in the international application as filed.				
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furni	shed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	ha co	as bee opies i	ion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretoen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional sidentical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as iate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:						

_	Вс	x No. II	Priority			
1	. 🛛	The fo	llowing document h	nas not be	en furnishe	rd:
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	r application	n whose p	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
						ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse neverti	quently it has not b	een possi	ole to cons	ider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2	2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	. 🗆	was no	ot available to the IS	SA at the t	me that the	of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document e search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Add	ditional c	bservations, if nec	essary:		
_	Par	· No. V	Doors and state		l- 5 1 40	
_		k No. V ustrial a	neasoned state	ons and	er Hule 43 explanatio	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1.	Sta	tement				
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	4, 10 1-3, 5-9, 11,12
	Inventive step (IS)		Yes:	Claims	4,10	
				No:	Claims	1-3, 5-9, 11, 12
	Indu	ıstrial ap	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12
2.	Cita	tions an	d explanations			
	see	separat	te sheet			
	Вох	No. VII	Certain defects	in the int	ernational	application
— Th	The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:					

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030943

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Point V, 2

1. Numbering of cited documents (D):

D1: US-A-5 634 964

D2: US-A-5 133 194

D3: GB-A-671 567

D4: US-A-6 004 204

D5: US-A-5 516 330

D6: WO-A-02 066324

D7: EP-A-0 326 950

2. <u>Independent claim 1:</u>

2.1 D1 discloses

an aircraft air conditioning system mixer comprising:

a first tube (30/32) having a passage extending between an inlet and an outlet; and

a second tube (16/18) at least partially surrounding said first tube and forming a cavity (by surrounding the first tube),

said first tube having a circumferential corrugation (Fig. 4) in said tube forming at least one lobe fluidly connecting said first tube and said cavity (col. 2, I. 59-67).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Articles 33(1) and (2) PCT).

- 2.2 D2 also discloses a mixer on which the wording of claim 1 can be read (cf. Figures 4 and 5), the subject-matter of which is therefore also not new in view of D2 (Articles 33(1) and (2) PCT).
- 2.3 D3 discloses an aircraft conditioning system mixer from which the subject-matter of claim 1 only differs in that the first tube has a circumferential corrugation, as defined in the last two lines of claim 1 (cf. first tube 12, second tube 13 and cavity starting at 24, 24').

It is however well known in the art to provide such a corrugation in this location in similar mixers, cf. e.g. D4.

The subject-matter of claim 1 would therefore also not involve an inventive step in view of the combination of D3 and D4.

3. Dependent claims 2-8:

- 3.1 The additional features of claims 2, 3, 5 (see Point VIII below!) and 6-8 would also appear to be known from D1-D4.
- 3.2 None of the cited documents disclose or provide any leads to the additional features of claim 4. D5 and D6 provide conditioned air to the flight deck by bypassing the mixer (in which conditioned and recirculated air is mixed) completely.

4. Independent claim 9:

4.1 This claim is directed to an aircraft conditioning system with a pack for producing conditioned air, a cabin providing recirculation air and a mixer having all the features of claim 1.

An air conditioning pack and the use of recirculation air is also known from D1, cf. col. 2, l. 48-58. These features are also known from D2 and D3.

The subject-matter of claim 9 therefore also lacks novelty with respect to D1 and D2 (Articles 33(1) and (2) PCT), respectively an inventive step with respect to the combination of D3 and D4 (Articles 33(1) and (3) PCT).

5. <u>Dependent claims 10-12:</u>

- 5.1 Claim 10 is essentially identical to claim 4; see Point 3.2 above.
- 5.2 The additional features of claim 11 are also known from D1, D2 and D4.
- 5.3 Claim 12 is essentially identical to claim 8; see Point 3.1 above.

Point VII

- 1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D4 and D7 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 3. According to the requirements of Rule 10.2 PCT, the terminology and the signs shall be consistent throughout the application. This requirement is for example not met in view of the use of the expressions "first tube" and "fresh air tube" for the same feature.

Point VIII

1. The additional features of claim 5 (and to a large extent those of claims 2 and 3) are not additional technical features of the mixer as such, but rather features relating to its use.